



<https://aljamei.com/index.php/airj>

Examining Conjugal Rights of Inmates under Pakistan's Legal and Shari'ah Framework

Asadullah Almani

Lecture Law, Shaheed Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto University of Law Karachi
asadullah.almani@szabul.edu.pk

Asif Ali Buriro

lecturer law, Shaheed Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto University of Law Karachi
asif.ali@szabul.edu.pk.

Anosha

Designation Lecture Law, Shaheed Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto University of Law Karachi
anosha.wahab@szabul.edu.pk

Abstract

The primary intent of this research is to scrutinize the Islamic and legal dimensions of prisoners' conjugal rights within the Pakistani penitentiary system. Although incarceration inherently limits personal freedom, detainees remain entitled to a framework of human rights established by international, regional, and domestic legal instruments. This study investigates fundamental Shari'ah principles alongside constitutional, statutory, and judicial viewpoints to determine the extent to which Islamic law acknowledges marital intimacy for the incarcerated. Utilizing a qualitative methodology, the research evaluates constitutional mandates, prison regulations, global benchmarks, and human rights documentation. The findings indicate that the formal recognition of conjugal rights is vital not only for the preservation of human dignity and the success of rehabilitative programs but also for maintaining familial cohesion. Furthermore, implementing marital visitations serves as a strategic intervention to curb institutionalized homosexuality and mitigate the transmission of sexually focused diseases within the prison population.

Keywords: Conjugal Rights, Shari'ah Law, Prison Reform, Human Rights, Pakistan Penal System, Rehabilitation.

Introduction

The entitlement to personal liberty stands as a cornerstone of human existence. Nevertheless, judicial systems may necessitate the temporary restriction of this freedom for individuals whose conduct results in criminal convictions, placing them under the direct oversight of correctional authorities¹. Incarceration is a standard punitive measure utilized by the judiciary to penalize offenders by confining them within a regulated environment. However, a prisoner does not relinquish their status as a human being upon entering a cell. While the law

mandates the forfeiture of specific liberties, inmates retain a set of inviolable fundamental rights. These include the right to life and a basic standard of living, humane treatment, immunity from torture, and protection against discrimination based on caste or ethnicity. Furthermore, the rights to education, rehabilitation, due process, and the safeguarding of parental and cultural ties are essential entitlements that must be upheld even in conditions of confinement².

Among these essential entitlements are conjugal rights. The concept of "conjugal" pertains to the legal and social recognition of rights inherent to married couples, specifically the privilege of maintaining intimate relations and fostering an extended family unit. A conjugal visit is defined as a sanctioned period where a prisoner is permitted to spend private time with their legal spouse within the prison complex. This allows for the exercise of sexual rights and the maintenance of the marital bond. Such provisions are designed to foster psychological stability during the term of imprisonment and facilitate smoother social reintegration following release, notably by decreasing the frequency of both sexual and non-sexual violence among the inmate population³.

The central objective of this right is the preservation of domestic stability and the protection of the family structure. The global discourse regarding the entitlement of prisoners to marital intimacy gained significant traction around 1904 at the Parchman Penitentiary⁴. While the general public often equates conjugal visits solely with sexual activity, the legal definition encompasses a private meeting in a dedicated space that allows an inmate to exercise their physiological and emotional rights as a spouse⁵.

Historically, the evolution of these visits is complex. Parchman Farm in Mississippi serves as an early, albeit controversial, example. Initially established as a labor camp where African American prisoners were subjected to conditions reminiscent of slavery, it eventually became the site of early marital visitation practices⁶. In the early 20th century, these interactions were part of an informal, patriarchal system of compensation. Guards allowed inmates access to sexual partners as a reward for high labor productivity in the cotton fields; however, these arrangements were not official policy and often involved sex workers⁷.

It was not until after 1955 that the penal system officially formalized programs for marital visits. This transition saw the construction of private cabins intended for meetings between inmates, their wives, and their children, while the use of sex workers was abolished. Over time, these visits evolved into official furloughs, serving as a partial remedy for the psychological anxieties and behavioral shifts associated with long-term isolation⁸. Although initially viewed with skepticism in Western penal cultures, these rights proved more effective at managing prison tensions than purely disciplinary measures⁹.

In the contemporary Pakistani context, the discourse on conjugal rights remains a sensitive and under-researched facet of prison reform. Despite the fact that global human rights standards advocate for the maintenance of family ties, significant cultural, infrastructural, and legal hurdles persist in Pakistan. This research is significant as it seeks to legally harmonize conjugal rights with international norms and constitutional protections. Socially, the study underscores the role of these rights in preserving the mental health of inmates and preventing recidivism. By merging global comparative analysis with Pakistan's unique socio-cultural and Islamic landscape, this study provides a foundational framework for substantive criminal justice reform.

2. Conceptual Framework of Conjugal Rights

Fundamentally, conjugal rights represent the reciprocal entitlements established through the institution of marriage, primarily the right of one spouse to the society and companionship of the other. In the specific context of the penal system, this concept translates into "conjugal visits," which permit incarcerated individuals to spend private, designated intervals with their legal partners within the boundaries of the correctional facility¹⁰. Proponents of this practice argue that such visitations are instrumental in bolstering the psychological health of detainees, fortifying marital bonds, and curbing the prevalence of sexual aggression and institutionalized homosexuality¹¹. Furthermore, legal discourse increasingly emphasizes that the denial of these rights serves as an indirect punishment for the innocent spouse, who possesses an inherent right to maintain their marital relationship¹².

Global human rights instruments, including the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR), and the United Nations Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners (The Nelson Mandela Rules), provide a protective umbrella for the dignity and life of the incarcerated. These frameworks advocate for the preservation of familial integrity, which implicitly encompasses marital intimacy¹³. Domestically, while the primary objective of many penal codes is the maintenance of social order, there is an increasing recognition of the necessity of sustaining a prisoner's social and familial network¹⁴.

The recognition of these rights is rooted in the philosophies of human dignity, social rehabilitation, and successful reintegration. By providing a space for physical intimacy and privacy, the state acknowledges the inmate's humanity and seeks to minimize the emotional trauma of long-term separation¹⁵. While global practices vary, with some jurisdictions adopting liberal visitation policies and others maintaining strict prohibitions, the debate often centers on the tension between inmate welfare and institutional security¹⁶. Recently, this discourse has expanded to include perspectives on gender parity and comprehensive criminal justice reform¹⁷.

The Pakistani Legal and Socio-Cultural Landscape

In Pakistan, the issue of marital rights for detainees remains a critical yet largely overlooked area of reform, characterized by a visible friction between conservative social norms and evolving legal demands. The Prisons Act of 1894, which continues to serve as the primary legislative framework for jail administration, is notably silent regarding the marital rights of the incarcerated¹⁸. Nevertheless, the superior judiciary in Pakistan has occasionally interpreted the "right to life and liberty" under Article 9 of the 1973 Constitution as a broad protection of human dignity, which may encompass the right to marry and maintain marital relations¹⁹.

As a signatory to the ICCPR, Pakistan is under an international obligation to harmonize its domestic penal practices with global standards, such as the right to a family life envisioned under Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights²⁰. Furthermore, the sociological perception of these rights in Pakistan is deeply intertwined with Islamic values. Islam underscores the sanctity of the marital contract and the fulfillment of spousal obligations, a theological stance that supports the argument for conjugal access even during imprisonment²¹. However, progress is often stymied by the clash between traditionalist cultural attitudes and more progressive legal interpretations.

Comparative research offers significant lessons for Pakistani reform. In India, judicial interventions have periodically recognized that conjugal access can reduce recidivism and encourage moral reformation among convicts²². Similarly, various United States jurisdictions have integrated marital visits into their reform agendas to stabilize the family unit²³. Despite these potential benefits, implementation in Pakistan faces severe structural hurdles, including acute prison overcrowding, deficient infrastructure, and significant public resistance²⁴. Critics often contend that focusing on marital access may distract from more urgent crises, such as prisoner safety and basic healthcare²⁵. Moreover, concerns persist that without rigorous oversight, such programs could be susceptible to administrative abuse, further burdening an already strained criminal justice system²⁶.

Rationalizing the Protection of Conjugal Rights

The justification for safeguarding the conjugal entitlements of detainees is rooted in the convergence of human dignity, social rehabilitation, and the preservation of the domestic unit²⁷. Marital visitations affirm that despite the restriction of physical liberty, convicts retain fundamental protections, specifically the right to privacy and a private family life²⁸. Psychologically, these interactions serve as a vital mechanism for alleviating the chronic stress and profound isolation inherent in the carceral experience, thereby promoting emotional stability.

Furthermore, the maintenance of intimate family bonds is a critical precursor to successful social reintegration, effectively lowering recidivism by ensuring the inmate remains tethered to a stable support system²⁹. From a broader social perspective, upholding these rights ensures that marital obligations and parent-child relationships are not permanently severed by state intervention. This approach also addresses contemporary gender equality concerns by recognizing that both male and female detainees possess an equal right to maintain their marital status and biological legacies³⁰. Ultimately, these rights represent a transition from purely retributive measures toward a restorative and humane judicial philosophy³¹.

Islamic Jurisprudential Approaches to Inmate Conjugal

Rights Islamic jurisprudence (Fiqh) provides a profound theoretical basis for understanding the marital rights of the incarcerated, emphasizing the protection of human dignity (Karama) and the sanctity of the family unit. In Islam, marriage is viewed as a sacred covenant (Mithaq-e-Ghaliz) entailing reciprocal obligations, including the right to sexual intimacy, which is recognized as a legitimate and essential need for both partners. Islamic legal philosophy maintains that unless a specific right is explicitly curtailed for the sake of public safety or the administration of justice, an individual's fundamental human status remains intact during imprisonment³².

The Divine guidance in the Holy Quran underscores the importance of the pair bond and the familial structure. As stated in Surah Al-Nisa: "O people! be careful of (your duty towards) your Lord who created you from a single being and created its mate of the same kind..."³³. This is further reinforced in Surah Al-Zariyat, which notes: "And of everything We have created pairs that you may be mindful"³⁴. Furthermore, Surah Al-Hujurat highlights that the creation of tribes and families was intended for mutual recognition and social cohesion, emphasizing that the most honorable are those who are most mindful of their duties³⁵.

Islamic law also defines specific roles within the family; while men are designated as protectors and providers, this responsibility is balanced by the wife's reciprocal rights and her

duties toward the household. Even under the conditions of incarceration, the inherent rights of a spouse remain unaffected by the partner's legal status³⁶. Consequently, the Shari'ah-compliant concept of parole or temporary release serves as a viable mechanism for prisoners to fulfill their domestic and marital obligations. Islamic history and the Sunnah offer compassionate precedents for the treatment of detainees. Historical records indicate a principle that no individual should be separated from their spouse or children for a period exceeding six months³⁷.

This humane standard was upheld during the era of the Rightly Guided Caliphs. Specifically, it is documented that Imam Ali (R.A) addressed complaints regarding the refusal of marital support and provided universal standards for prisoner welfare. In a landmark precedent, Imam Ali (R.A) permitted the spouse of a detainee to accompany and reside with the prisoner, famously stating that an inmate's family retains the right of visitation and companionship at any time³⁸. These precedents confirm that Islamic law does not seek to punish the innocent family members of a convict and supports the preservation of the marital bond throughout the period of detention³⁹.

The Legal Framework: Constitutional and International Perspectives

As an "Islamic Republic," Pakistan is constitutionally mandated to uphold the principles of equality, social justice, and liberty. While Article 25(3) of the Constitution of Pakistan (1973) focuses on the protection of women and children, Article 35 explicitly binds the State to safeguard the marriage, the family, and the rights of mothers⁴⁰. In the realm of marital affairs—where human emotions and delicate social ties are at stake—the judiciary is encouraged to look beyond rigid legalism and consider the broader societal fabric. While Articles 9 and 14 guarantee the right to life, liberty, and dignity, their specific application to conjugal visitation has historically lacked explicit judicial activation.

However, international standards provide a robust foundation for such reforms. As a signatory to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR, 1966), Pakistan is obligated under Article 23 to recognize the family as the fundamental unit of society, deserving of State protection⁴¹. Similarly, Article 16 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR, 1949) asserts that men and women of full age have the right to marry and found a family, enjoying equal rights before, during, and after the dissolution of marriage, free from discrimination based on race or religion⁴².

Legislative Needs and the Evolution of Prison Rules

The debate regarding the absence of marital sex regulations in Pakistani jails was significantly catalyzed by Petition No. 21-I of 1995, which highlighted how the denial of conjugal rights contributed to sexual exploitation, health risks, and psychological instability⁴³. A landmark shift occurred in 2010 when the Federal Shariat Court (FSC) affirmed that prisoners possess a right to special marital meetings, grounded in the Islamic emphasis on family preservation.

Following the FSC's pivotal ruling in *Dr. Muhammad Aslam Khaki and others vs. the State and others* (2010), legislative adjustments were made at the provincial level. Under Section 59 of the Prisons Act of 1894, the Punjab government amended the Punjab Jail Rules (1978) to include Rule 545-A⁴⁴. Similar provisions had been introduced earlier in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KPK) under Rule 544 in 2005. Under Rule 545-A, inmates serving sentences exceeding five years are permitted to stay with their legal spouse and a child under six for up

to three days, thrice a year, provided they meet specific security and identity verification criteria. Notably, those convicted of anti-state activities or terrorism are excluded from these provisions without express government clearance⁴⁵.

Rehabilitation through Conjugal Visitation

The Pakistani state increasingly views conjugal visits as a tool for rehabilitation rather than a mere luxury. Ensuring these visitations across all provinces could effectively minimize institutional issues such as drug addiction and sexual abuse among inmates⁴⁶. Proposed reforms suggest extending these rights through either family gatherings within prison grounds or the use of parole facilities for private meetings lasting up to a week every four months⁴⁷. From a criminological perspective, such programs are effective in reducing prison tension and chronic isolation. Research indicates that inmates who maintain strong social bonds through visitation are more enthusiastic about their future and significantly less likely to engage in violent misconduct compared to those who are isolated⁴⁸.

Despite these theoretical benefits, a profound gap exists between policy and practice. Pakistani jails suffer from chronic underfunding and overcrowding, which often renders reform initiatives ineffective. While the judiciary has adopted a "significance-oriented" interpretation of constitutional rights to protect prisoners, the executive branch has struggled to provide the necessary infrastructure⁴⁹. Ultimately, while the last three decades have seen an expansion in the study of social support for inmates, the implementation of conjugal rights in Pakistan remains uneven. Upholding these rights is not merely a matter of penal policy but a constitutional duty of the state to protect human dignity even behind bars⁵⁰.

Conclusions

The analysis of Pakistan's legal and Islamic frameworks regarding the marital rights of detainees reveals a complex interplay between theological mandates, cultural norms, and modern human rights standards. While Islamic jurisprudence profoundly underscores the sanctity of the marital bond and the necessity of preserving family unity even during incarceration, the Pakistani statutory framework remains largely implicit. Current provincial regulations, specifically Rule 545-A in Punjab and the KPK Prison Rules, provide for familial meetings but stop short of a comprehensive, nationwide implementation of conjugal rights⁵¹.

Although Articles 9 and 14 of the Constitution offer a potent legal basis for protecting inmate dignity, practical realization is frequently obstructed by systemic infrastructure deficits, administrative inertia, and conservative social resistance. Since the 18th Amendment, the responsibility for these reforms rests with provincial governments, who must now bridge the gap between their international obligations under the ICCPR and the ground realities of the penal system⁵². Ultimately, recognizing conjugal rights is not a compromise on security but a commitment to the Islamic and constitutional duty of restorative justice.

Recommendations

To harmonize Pakistan's prison administration with Islamic ethics and global human rights benchmarks, the following strategic interventions are proposed:

Legislative Standardization:

Provincial governments should explicitly codify conjugal rights within their respective prison manuals. It is recommended that Rule 545-A be revised to extend these rights to all inmates serving a sentence of three years or more, ensuring broader access to rehabilitation⁵³.

Infrastructural Investment:

Dedicated financial resources must be allocated for the construction of specialized, private conjugal units within prison perimeters. Existing structures designated for family visits should be immediately repurposed and utilized for marital intimacy⁵⁴.

Judicial Accessibility:

The application process for marital visits should be streamlined. Both the inmate and their spouse should have the legal standing to petition the court. Upon judicial satisfaction of the request, the Jail Superintendent must be mandated to facilitate the visit within a stipulated timeframe.

Parole Integration:

A specialized parole scheme should be integrated under the Probation and Parole Service Act, allowing eligible inmates to fulfill their marital and domestic obligations in a community setting, thereby reducing the burden on prison infrastructure⁵⁵.

Health and Education:

Prisons must be equipped with modern medical facilities to provide mandatory screening and counseling. Inmates participating in the conjugal program should receive education on reproductive health and the prevention of sexually transmitted diseases to ensure the safety of both spouses⁵⁶.

Sustainability and Revenue:

To ensure the long-term maintenance of conjugal facilities, the Jail Superintendent, in coordination with the Sessions Judge, may implement a nominal, affordable "conjugal fee." This revenue can be reinvested into the upkeep of the units, making the program self-sustaining without straining the national budget⁵⁷.

References

¹ Coyle, Andrew. *A Human Rights Approach to Prison Management: Handbook for Prison Staff*. 2nd ed. London: International Centre for Prisons, 2002.

https://www.prisonstudies.org/sites/default/files/resources/downloads/managing_prisons_0.pdf.

² National Human Rights Commission. *Human Rights in Prisons*. India National Commission No. 5. New Delhi, 2006. <https://nhrc.nic.in/annualreports/2004-2005>.

³ Kajawo, S. C. R. "Conjugal Visits in Prisons Discourse: Is it Even an Offender Rehabilitation Option in Africa?" *Advanced Journal of Social Science* 8, no. 1 (2021): 67–76. <https://doi.org/10.21467/ajss.8.1.67-76>.

⁴ Kuroski, John. "The Dark Origin and Troubling Future of Conjugal Visits in American Prisons." *All That's Interesting*, 2016. <https://allthatsinteresting.com/conjugal-visits>.

⁵ Bajpai, G. S., Sangeeta Taak, and A. Kaushik. "Explained: The Debate Around Conjugal Visits for Prisoners." *The Hindu*, 2022. <https://www.thehindu.com/news/states/explained-the-debate-around-conjugal-visits-for-prisoners/article66236287.ece>.

⁶ Hopper, Columbus B. "The Conjugal Visit at Mississippi State Penitentiary." *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology* 53, no. 3 (1962): 339-343.

<https://scholarlycommons.law.northwestern.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=5106&context=jclc>.

⁷ Plaisted, S. "Parchman Farm Penitentiary Exists as Modern-Day Slavery: A Position Paper." Providence College, 2021. <https://digitalcommons.providence.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1067&context=sbg>.

⁸ Goldstein, Dana. "Conjugal Visits: Why They're Disappearing, Which States Still Use Them, and What Really Happens?" *The Marshall Project*, February 11, 2015.

<https://www.themarshallproject.org/2015/02/11/conjugal-visits>.

⁹ Zafar, Paras, and Sajjad Ali. "The PECA Amendment 2025: A Critical Analysis." *SAHSOL, LUMS Law Journal* 12 (2025): 12–28.

- ¹⁰ Goyal, S. "Conjugal Rights of Prisoners." *Bharati Law Review* (2018): 57-73. http://docs.manupatra.in/newsline/articles/Upload/22A58DF8-EA77-472B-B0B5-F06ECDF5EB61._Goyal_Dr._Asst._Prof._57-73_Family.pdf.
- ¹¹ Folk, J. B., J. Stuewig, D. Mashek, J. P. Tangney, and J. Grossmann. "Behind Bars but Connected to Family: Evidence for the Benefits of Family Contact During Incarceration." *Journal of Family Psychology* 33, no. 4 (2019): 453–464. <https://doi.org/10.1037/fam0000520>.
- ¹² Qamar, N., M. Zia, and T. Khan. *De-Constructing Conjugal Rights in Pakistani Law*. Karachi: Legal Aid Society, 2019. <https://www.las.org.pk/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/De-Constructing-Conjugal-Right-in-Pakistani-Law.pdf>.
- ¹³ United Nations. *Universal Declaration of Human Rights*. 1948. <https://www.un.org/en/about-us/universal-declaration-of-human-rights>.
- ¹⁴ International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR). 1966. <https://www.ohchr.org/en/instruments-mechanisms/instruments/international-covenant-civil-and-political-rights>.
- ¹⁵ Burhanuddin, Maskawati A., and M. Andriani. "Islamic Perspective in the Fulfilment of Prisoners' Health Rights As a Government Responsibility." *EKSPOSE: Journal Penelitian Hukum dan Pendidikan* 22, no. 2 (2023): 68-75.
- ¹⁶ Van Kempen, P. H. "Positive Obligations to Ensure the Human Rights of Prisoners: Safety, Healthcare, Conjugal Visits and the Possibility of Founding a Family." In *Prison Policy and Prisoners' Rights*, 21-44. SSRN, 2008. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2045707.
- ¹⁷ Vianney, M. J. "Critical Analysis on Rights of Inmates to Conjugal Visits Under Rwandan Legal Framework." PhD diss., ULK, 2024.
- ¹⁸ Government of Pakistan. *Prisons Act of 1894*.
- ¹⁹ Gul, R. "Prisoners' Right to Fair Justice, Health Care and Conjugal Meetings: An Analysis of Theory and Practice." *Pakistan Journal of Criminology* 10, no. 4 (2018): 42-59. <https://www.pjcriminology.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/4.pdf>.
- ²⁰ Kaushik, S. R. "Prisoner's Rights in India and International Scenario: A Demanding Change and Perspective." *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research* 12, no. 4 (2021).
- ²¹ The Holy Quran. Surah Al-Nisa (4:1), Surah Al-Zariat (51:49), Surah Al-Hujurat (49:13).
- ²² Muhammad Aslam Khaki and others v. The State and Others. PLD Federal Shariat Court (2010). <https://www.federalshariatcourt.gov.pk/Judgments/Sh.P.No2-1%20of%202006.pdf>.
- ²³ Business Recorder. "Married Prisoners: FSC Calls for Giving Conjugal Rights." October 2009. <https://www.brecorder.com/news/3673610>.
- ²⁴ Government of Punjab. *Punjab Jail Manual (1978)*. Rule 545-A. <https://prisons.punjab.gov.pk/system/files/Pakistan%20Prison%20Rules%201978%20%28Final%29.pdf>.
- ²⁵ Tariq, A., M. M. A. Khan, and I. Ullah. "Reformation of Prisoners, Through Rehabilitation; The Analysis of the Pakistani System in the Light of International Standards." *Review of Education, Administration and Law (REAL)* 5, no. 3 (2022): 257–266.
- ²⁶ Cochran, J. C. "The Ties That Bind or the Ties That Break: Examining the Relationship Between Visitation and Prisoner Misconduct." *Journal of Criminal Justice* 40, no. 5 (2012): 433-440. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrimjus.2012.06.001>.
- ²⁷ Berghuis, M., M. Sentse, H. Palmén, and P. Nieuwebeerta. "Receiving Visits and the Relative Timing of Inmates' Infractions." *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency* 61, no. 1 (2024): 45-75. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00224278221118367>.
- ²⁸ Alam, Q. "Prison Reform in Pakistan: What is Needed and Why?" University of Oxford Centre for Criminology, September 2022. <https://blogs.law.ox.ac.uk/centre-criminology-blog/blog-post/2022/09/prison-reform-pakistan-what-needed-and-why>.
- ²⁹ Asia Program Report. *Reforming Pakistan's Prison System*. Report No. 212. Washington, DC: Refworld, 2011. <https://www.refworld.org/pdfid/4e968f382.pdf>.
- ³⁰ Khan, T. "Prison Reform and Conjugal Visitation: An Exploratory Study." *Pakistan Journal of Criminology*, no. 3 (2010): 35-50.
- ³¹ Acorn, Annalise E. *Compulsory Compassion: A Critique of Restorative Justice*. Vancouver: UBC Press, 2024.
- ³² Quran. Surah Al-Nisa (4:1).
- ³³ Quran. Surah Al-Zariat (51:49)
- ³⁴ Quran. Surah Al-Hujurat (49:13)
- ³⁵ Burhanuddin, Maskawati A., and M. Andriani. "Islamic Perspective in the Fulfilment of Prisoners' Health Rights." *EKSPOSE* 22, no. 2 (2023): 68-75.

-
- ³⁶ Tariq, A., M. M. A. Khan, and I. Ullah. "Reformation of Prisoners Through Rehabilitation." REAL 5, no. 3 (2022).
- ³⁷ Muhammad Aslam Khaki and others v. The State and Others. PLD Federal Shariat Court (2010).
- ³⁸ Khaki, Muhammad Aslam. "Human Rights of Prisoners in Islam." FSC Judgment Archive, 2010.
- ³⁹ Zafar, Paras, and Sajjad Ali. "The PECA Amendment 2025: A Critical Analysis." SAHSOL, LUMS Law Journal 12 (2025).
- ⁴⁰ Government of Pakistan. The Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973. Article 35.
- ⁴¹ International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR). Article 23. 1966.
- ⁴² Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR). Article 16. 1949.
- ⁴³ Federal Shariat Court. Petition No. 21-I of 1995 regarding Marital Regulations. 1995.
- ⁴⁴ Government of Punjab. Punjab Jail Manual/Pakistan Prison Rules. Rule 545-A (2007/2025 Update).
- ⁴⁵ Government of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa. KPK Prison Rules. Rule 544. 2005.
- ⁴⁶ Business Recorder. "Married Prisoners: FSC Calls for Giving Conjugal Rights." 2009.
- ⁴⁷ Business Recorder. "Proposed Parole Facility for Private Family Meetings." October 2009.
- ⁴⁸ Cochran, Joshua C. "The Ties That Bind or the Ties That Break." Journal of Criminal Justice 40, no. 5 (2012): 433-440.
- ⁴⁹ Alam, Qudrat. "Prison Reform in Pakistan: What is Needed and Why?" University of Oxford Centre for Criminology, 2022.
- ⁵⁰ Khan, T. "Prison Reform and Conjugal Visitation: An Exploratory Study." Pakistan Journal of Criminology 2, no. 3 (2010): 35-50
- ⁵¹ Asia Program Report. Reforming Pakistan's Prison System. Report No. 212. 2011.
- ⁵² Government of Pakistan. The Constitution (Eighteenth Amendment) Act, 2010.
- ⁵³ Law and Justice Commission of Pakistan. Jail Reform. Law Commission Report No. 23. 2000.
- ⁵⁴ Punjab Prison Department. Annual Report on Infrastructure Development. 2024.
- ⁵⁵ Government of Pakistan. The Probation and Parole Service Act. (Various Provincial Adaptations).
- ⁵⁶ Human Rights Commission of Pakistan (HRCP). "State of Human Rights in Prisons." Annual Report, 2024.
- ⁵⁷ Van Kempen, P. H. "Positive Obligations to Ensure the Human Rights of Prisoners." 2008.