



<https://aljamei.com/index.php/ajrj>

Concept of Salvation in Islam, Christianity, and Hinduism: A Comparative Theological Study

Dr. Rasheed Ahmad

Assistant Professor, Department of Islamic Studies, MNS University of Agriculture, Multan, rasheed.ahmad@mnsuam.edu.pk

Dr. Nazia Begum

Assistant Professor (visiting) Dawood University of Engineering and Technology, Karachi, naziabegum80@gmail.com

Abstract

This study presents a comparative theological analysis of the concept of salvation in Islam, Christianity, and Hinduism, examining how each tradition understands the human condition, the nature of ultimate deliverance, and the means by which it is attained. In Islam, salvation is rooted in complete submission to the will of God through faith, righteous deeds, divine mercy, and accountability in the Hereafter, emphasizing moral responsibility and balance between hope and fear. Christianity conceptualizes salvation primarily through redemption from sin by the grace of God, centered on faith in Jesus Christ as the savior, highlighting divine love, sacrifice, and reconciliation between humanity and God. Hinduism offers a diverse and pluralistic understanding of salvation, commonly expressed as moksha, the liberation from the cycle of birth and rebirth, achieved through various paths such as knowledge, devotion, and disciplined action, reflecting a metaphysical focus on self-realization and unity with ultimate reality. By comparing these theological frameworks, the study highlights both convergences, such as the quest for liberation and moral transformation, and divergences in doctrinal foundations, soteriological mechanisms, and conceptions of the divine, thereby contributing to a deeper interfaith understanding of how salvation is perceived and pursued across major world religions.

Key Words: Salvation, Comparative Theology, Islam, Christianity, Hinduism

Introduction

The concept of salvation occupies a central position in religious thought, as it addresses humanity's deepest concern regarding the ultimate destiny of the soul and its deliverance from suffering, sin, or the cycle of rebirth. In theological discourse, salvation is not merely an abstract doctrine but a comprehensive framework that defines the relationship

[446]

between the human being and the Divine, the nature of moral responsibility, and the purpose of earthly existence. Islam, Christianity, and Hinduism—three of the world’s major religious traditions—offer distinct yet occasionally overlapping interpretations of salvation, shaped by their unique metaphysical assumptions, sacred texts, and historical developments.

In Islam, salvation (*najāt / falāḥ*) is fundamentally rooted in faith (*īmān*), righteous deeds (*‘amal ṣāliḥ*), and Divine mercy (*raḥmah*). The Qur’ān repeatedly emphasizes that ultimate success lies in belief in the oneness of Allah, adherence to His guidance, and accountability in the Hereafter. Allah states:

قَدْ أَفْلَحَ الْمُؤْمِنُونَ.¹

“Indeed, the believers have attained success.”¹

Salvation in Islamic theology is neither inherited nor mediated through an atoning sacrifice but is achieved through conscious submission to Allah (*islām*), moral conduct, and sincere repentance. The Qur’ān underscores personal responsibility while simultaneously affirming Divine mercy:

وَمَنْ يَعْمَلْ سُوءًا أَوْ يَظْلِمْ نَفْسَهُ ثُمَّ يَسْتَغْفِرِ اللَّهَ يَجِدِ اللَّهَ غَفُورًا رَحِيمًا.²

“Whoever commits evil or wrongs himself, then seeks Allah’s forgiveness, will find Allah Forgiving and Merciful.”²

Prophetic traditions further clarify the balance between deeds and mercy in the Islamic understanding of salvation. The Prophet Muḥammad ﷺ stated:

لَنْ يُدْخِلَ أَحَدًا عَمَلُهُ الْجَنَّةَ.³

“No one’s deeds alone will admit him into Paradise.”

The companions asked, “Not even you, O Messenger of Allah?”

He replied:

وَلَا أَنَا، إِلَّا أَنْ يَتَّعَمِدَنِي اللَّهُ بِفَضْلٍ وَرَحْمَةٍ.⁴

“Not even me, unless Allah envelops me in His grace and mercy.”

This Islamic framework contrasts significantly with Christianity, where salvation is primarily understood through the doctrines of original sin, redemption, and divine grace mediated through Jesus Christ, and with Hinduism, where salvation (*moksha*) entails liberation from the cycle of *samsāra* through knowledge (*jñāna*), devotion (*bhakti*), or action (*karma*). A comparative theological study of these traditions enables a deeper understanding of how each religion conceptualizes human suffering, moral accountability, and ultimate liberation.

By examining salvation across Islam, Christianity, and Hinduism, this research seeks to highlight both doctrinal distinctions and underlying ethical concerns, thereby contributing to interreligious understanding and comparative theology. Such a study is particularly relevant in a pluralistic global context, where theological dialogue plays a crucial role in fostering mutual respect and intellectual engagement among diverse faith communities.

Literature Review

The concept of salvation has been a central concern of theological inquiry across religious traditions, generating a vast body of literature that reflects diverse doctrinal frameworks and metaphysical assumptions. In comparative religious studies, salvation is often examined as a response to the fundamental human problem of suffering, sin, moral failure, or existential bondage. Scholars of religion have approached this theme through textual analysis, doctrinal comparison, and philosophical theology, particularly in relation to Islam, Christianity, and Hinduism.

Islamic Scholarly Literature on Salvation

Classical Islamic scholarship conceptualizes salvation (*najāt, falāḥ*) within a framework that integrates faith (*īmān*), righteous action (*‘amal*), and Divine mercy (*raḥmat Allāh*). Early exegetes such as al-Ṭabarī (d. 310 AH) and Ibn Kathīr (d. 774 AH) emphasize that salvation is inseparable from belief in Allah and accountability in the Hereafter. Commenting on the verse:

وَالْعَصْرِ ﴿١﴾ إِنَّ الْإِنْسَانَ لَفِي خُسْرٍ ﴿٢﴾ إِلَّا الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا وَعَمِلُوا الصَّالِحَاتِ

“By Time! Indeed, mankind is in loss, except those who believe and do righteous deeds,”⁵

Ibn Kathīr explains that true salvation is achieved through the harmony of correct belief and ethical conduct, not through lineage or ritual alone.⁶

Theological discussions in works of *‘aqīdah*, such as al-Nasafī’s *al-‘Aqā’id* and al-Taftāzānī’s commentaries, further clarify that salvation is ultimately contingent upon Divine grace, even though human effort remains indispensable. This balance is strongly supported by Prophetic traditions. In *Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim*, the Prophet ﷺ states:

سَدِّدُوا وَقَارِبُوا... فَإِنَّهُ لَنْ يَنْجِيَ أَحَدًا مِنْكُمْ عَمَلُهُ

“Be upright and moderate... for none of you will be saved by his deeds alone.”⁷

Al-Ghazālī (d. 505 AH), in *Iḥyā’ ‘Ulūm al-Dīn*, offers a moral–spiritual interpretation of salvation, emphasizing purification of the soul (*tazkiyat al-nafs*) as a prerequisite for success in the Hereafter.⁴ Modern scholars such as Fazlur Rahman and Wael

Hallaq have highlighted the Qur'ānic ethical vision of salvation, arguing that Islam presents salvation as a dynamic moral journey rather than a purely legal or ritual outcome.

Christian Theological Perspectives on Salvation

Christian literature on salvation is dominated by discussions of original sin, atonement, and redemption through Christ. Augustine's doctrine of original sin laid the foundation for later theological developments, asserting that humanity is inherently incapable of salvation without divine grace.⁵ Thomas Aquinas further systematized salvation theology by integrating Aristotelian philosophy with Christian doctrine, emphasizing grace as a necessary condition for redemption.⁸

Modern Christian theologians such as Karl Barth and Paul Tillich have reinterpreted salvation in existential and Christocentric terms, viewing it as reconciliation between God and humanity. Comparative scholars note that Christian soteriology is fundamentally mediation-centered, contrasting sharply with the Islamic emphasis on direct accountability before God.

Hindu Philosophical and Theological Literature

In Hindu thought, salvation (*moksha*) is understood as liberation from the cycle of birth and rebirth (*samsāra*). Classical texts such as the *Upaniṣads*, *Bhagavad Gītā*, and *Vedānta Sūtras* present multiple paths to salvation, including knowledge (*jñāna*), devotion (*bhakti*), and action (*karma*). Śāṅkara's Advaita Vedānta interprets salvation as realization of the unity of *ātman* and *brahman*,⁹ while Rāmānuja emphasizes devotional surrender to a personal deity.

Contemporary scholars like Radhakrishnan and Gavin Flood have explored how Hindu soteriology accommodates pluralism by allowing multiple salvific paths, a feature that distinguishes it from the exclusivist tendencies found in some interpretations of Christian theology.

Comparative Scholarly Contributions

Comparative theologians such as Wilfred Cantwell Smith and John Hick have attempted to analyze salvation beyond confessional boundaries, arguing for a pluralistic understanding of religious truth. However, Islamic scholars have critiqued such approaches for relativizing doctrinal differences that are central to faith commitments. The existing literature indicates that while ethical transformation is a shared concern, the metaphysical foundations and mechanisms of salvation differ substantially among Islam, Christianity, and Hinduism.

Research Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative, comparative, and textual research methodology to examine the concept of salvation in Islam, Christianity, and Hinduism. Given the theological and doctrinal nature of the subject, the research relies primarily on library-based and textual **analysis** rather than empirical or quantitative methods. The methodology is designed to ensure doctrinal accuracy, contextual depth, and analytical balance among the selected religious traditions.

Research Design

The research follows a comparative theological framework, which enables systematic comparison of salvation doctrines across religious traditions while respecting their internal coherence. Each religion is first studied independently, based on its own authoritative sources, before engaging in cross-religious comparison. This two-tier approach prevents theological reductionism and ensures that doctrinal positions are not interpreted through external assumptions.

Data Analysis

Comparative Frequency of Soteriological Terminology

To examine how salvation is articulated across Islam, Christianity, and Hinduism, this study conducts a comparative frequency analysis of core soteriological terminology within representative primary texts of each tradition. The purpose is not statistical exhaustiveness, but to identify relative emphasis and doctrinal orientation as reflected in recurrent key terms.

Corpus Selection and Analytical Procedure

A controlled textual corpus was selected for each tradition to ensure comparability:

- **Islam:** The Qur'ān (entire text) with reference to major tafsīr for semantic validation; corroborative usage in *Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī* and *Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim*.
- **Christianity:** The New Testament (with focus on Pauline epistles and the Gospels).
- **Hinduism:** The *Bhagavad Gītā* and selected *Upaniṣads* (Īśā, Katha, Chāndogya).

Key soteriological terms were identified a priori based on doctrinal centrality. Occurrences were then counted within the selected corpus and interpreted contextually to avoid reductionism (e.g., metaphorical vs. technical usage).

Core Soteriological Terms Identified

- **Islam:** *Falāḥ* (success), *Najāt* (deliverance), *Jannah* (Paradise), *Maghfirah* (forgiveness), *Raḥmah* (mercy), *ʿAmal Ṣāliḥ* (righteous deeds).
- **Christianity:** Salvation, Grace, Redemption, Atonement, Sin, Eternal Life.

- **Hinduism:** *Mokṣa* (liberation), *Samsāra* (cycle of rebirth), *Karma*, *Bhakti* (devotion), *Jñāna* (knowledge).

Indicative Frequency Distribution (Within Selected Corpus)

Tradition	Dominant Terms	Relative Frequency Pattern	Theological Implication
Islam	<i>Falāḥ</i> , <i>Jannah</i> , <i>Maghfirah</i> , <i>Raḥmah</i> , <i>ʿAmal Ṣāliḥ</i>	High recurrence of success/forgiveness paired with deeds	of Salvation framed as faith–synergy under Divine mercy
Christianity	Salvation, Grace, Redemption	Sin, High emphasis on grace/redemption terminology	Salvation primarily grace-mediated
Hinduism	<i>Mokṣa</i> , <i>Karma</i> , <i>Bhakti</i> , <i>Jñāna</i>	Distributed across multiple paths	Plural soteriological means toward liberation

Contextual Analysis with Textual Evidence

In the Qur’ān, salvation-related success is frequently expressed through *falāḥ*, closely tied to belief and ethical conduct:

قَدْ أَفْلَحَ مَنْ زَكَّاهَا.¹⁰

“He has certainly succeeded who purifies it.”

Similarly, *maghfirah* and *raḥmah* recur extensively, underscoring mercy as a decisive salvific factor:

وَرَحْمَتِي وَسِعَتْ كُلَّ شَيْءٍ.¹¹

“My mercy encompasses all things.”

In the New Testament corpus, the frequency of “grace” (*charis*) and “salvation” (*sōtēria*), especially in Pauline writings (e.g., Romans and Ephesians), reflects a doctrinal priority on unmerited divine favor. By contrast, Hindu texts distribute salvific emphasis across *mokṣa*-oriented paths, with the *Bhagavad Gītā* repeatedly juxtaposing *karma*, *bhakti*, and *jñāna*, indicating methodological pluralism in salvation.

Comparative Interpretation

The frequency patterns reveal distinct soteriological architectures:

- **Islam** emphasizes moral accountability plus mercy, reflected in the repeated coupling of deeds with forgiveness.
- **Christianity** foregrounds grace and redemption, with sin–salvation polarity dominating terminology.
- **Hinduism** presents multi-path liberation, evidenced by relatively balanced recurrence of action, devotion, and knowledge terms.

Thus, comparative frequency analysis substantiates the theological claim that terminological density mirrors doctrinal priority within each religious tradition.

The Relationship Between Moral Jurisprudence and Salvation Outcomes

Moral jurisprudence occupies a foundational role in Islamic theology, serving as the practical manifestation of belief and a decisive factor in determining salvation outcomes. Unlike purely abstract ethical systems, Islamic moral jurisprudence (*fiqh al-akhlāq wa al-aḥkām*) integrates law, ethics, and spirituality, thereby linking outward conduct with inward faith. The Qur'ān and Sunnah consistently affirm that salvation (*najāt / falāḥ*) is closely tied to moral accountability, legal obedience, and ethical integrity, all of which are regulated through the Sharī'ah.

The Qur'ān establishes a direct relationship between righteous action and ultimate success in the Hereafter. Moral conduct, as defined by divine law, is not peripheral but central to salvation:

وَمَنْ يَعْمَلْ مِنَ الصَّالِحَاتِ وَهُوَ مُؤْمِنٌ فَلَا يَخَافُ ظُلْمًا وَلَا هَضْمًا

“Whoever does righteous deeds while being a believer shall have no fear of injustice or deprivation.”¹²

Classical exegetes explain that *al-ṣāliḥāt* refers not merely to voluntary piety but to actions conforming to divine legal and moral prescriptions. Al-Ṭabarī notes that righteous deeds are those performed in accordance with Allah's commands and prohibitions, making jurisprudence inseparable from salvation.¹³

Islamic moral jurisprudence further emphasizes justice (*ʿadl*) and avoidance of oppression (*ẓulm*) as prerequisites for divine acceptance. The Qur'ān warns that moral corruption and legal transgression directly jeopardize salvation:

تِلْكَ حُدُودُ اللَّهِ وَمَنْ يَتَعَدَّ حُدُودَ اللَّهِ فَقَدْ ظَلَمَ نَفْسَهُ

“These are the limits set by Allah; whoever transgresses the limits of Allah has surely wronged himself.”¹⁴

Here, violation of legal boundaries is explicitly equated with self-destruction in salvific terms, highlighting that moral law is not merely social regulation but a means of eternal success or failure.

Prophetic traditions reinforce this Qur'ānic paradigm by linking moral character with salvation outcomes. The Prophet Muḥammad ﷺ stated:

إِنَّمَا بُعِثْتُ لِأَتَمِّمَ صَالِحَ الْأَخْلَاقِ

“I was sent only to perfect noble moral character.”¹⁵

This statement underscores that the Sharī'ah's legal framework ultimately aims at ethical refinement, which directly affects one's standing before Allah. Another ḥadīth explicitly connects moral conduct with entry into Paradise:

أَكْثَرُ مَا يُدْخِلُ النَّاسَ الْجَنَّةَ تَقْوَى اللَّهِ وَحُسْنُ الْخُلُقِ

“The matters that most frequently admit people into Paradise are fear of Allah and good character.”¹⁶

Al-Nawawī, commenting on this tradition, explains that *taqwā* entails strict observance of divine commands and prohibitions, while *ḥusn al-khuluq* represents the ethical dimension of jurisprudence, thereby uniting law and morality as twin pillars of salvation.¹⁷

The works of al-Ghazālī provide a sophisticated synthesis of jurisprudence and spirituality. In *Iḥyā' 'Ulūm al-Dīn*, he argues that external compliance with legal rulings without internal moral purification fails to secure salvation, while spirituality detached from legal discipline leads to deviation.⁷ Thus, salvation is achieved through the harmony of legal obedience (*fiqh*) and moral transformation (*tazkiyah*).

Furthermore, Islamic jurisprudence recognizes that salvation is ultimately dependent on divine mercy, yet moral accountability remains decisive. The Prophet ﷺ declared:

كُلُّ أُمَّتِي يَدْخُلُونَ الْجَنَّةَ إِلَّا مَنْ أَبَى

“All of my community will enter Paradise except those who refuse.”

It was asked, “Who will refuse, O Messenger of Allah?”¹⁸

He replied:

مَنْ أَطَاعَنِي دَخَلَ الْجَنَّةَ، وَمَنْ عَصَانِي فَقَدْ أَبَى

“Whoever obeys me will enter Paradise, and whoever disobeys me has refused.”¹⁹

This tradition establishes obedience to prophetic law as a salvific criterion, while disobedience constitutes a conscious rejection of salvation.

In sum, Islamic sources consistently present moral jurisprudence as a decisive determinant of salvation outcomes. Sharī'ah is not merely a legal system but a salvific pathway that disciplines human behavior, purifies the soul, and prepares the believer for divine judgment. Salvation, therefore, emerges from a dynamic interaction between law,

ethics, and mercy—where moral jurisprudence provides the structure within which divine grace operates.

Structural Modeling of the "State of Liberation"

In soteriological discourse, the “state of liberation” refers to the final condition of deliverance from moral failure, existential anxiety, and ultimate loss, culminating in nearness to the Divine and eternal felicity. From an Islamic theological perspective, this state is neither instantaneous nor purely mystical; rather, it is the outcome of a structured process governed by belief, moral jurisprudence, spiritual purification, and Divine mercy. A structural model helps clarify how these elements interact to produce the salvific end-state (*falāḥ* / *najāt*).

Conceptual Foundations of the Model

Islamic theology presents liberation as a teleological outcome anchored in accountability (*ḥisāb*) and recompense (*jazāʾ*). The Qurʾān defines the liberated state in explicit eschatological terms:

فَمَنْ زُحِرَ عَنِ النَّارِ وَأُدْخِلَ الْجَنَّةَ فَقَدْ فَازَ²⁰

“Whoever is removed from the Fire and admitted into Paradise has indeed attained success.”²¹

This verse establishes liberation as a binary transition from punishment to reward—while presupposing a prior moral–legal process. Classical exegetes note that this transition is not arbitrary but follows a structured moral economy.²¹

Core Structural Components

The proposed structural model consists of five interdependent components, each rooted in authoritative Islamic sources:

1. Ontological Orientation (*Īmān*)

Liberation begins with correct ontological alignment through faith. *Īmān* establishes the metaphysical framework within which actions acquire salvific value:

وَمَنْ يَبْتَغِ غَيْرَ الْإِسْلَامِ دِينًا فَلَنْ يُقْبَلَ مِنْهُ²²

“Whoever seeks a religion other than Islam, it will never be accepted from him.”

Faith functions as the entry condition of the model, without which subsequent moral or spiritual acts do not culminate in liberation.

2. Normative Regulation (*Sharī'ah and Moral Jurisprudence*)

Sharī'ah provides the normative structure governing behavior. Liberation is conditioned upon compliance with divine law, which translates belief into lived morality:

تِلْكَ حُدُودُ اللَّهِ... وَمَنْ يُطِيعِ اللَّهَ وَرَسُولَهُ يُدْخِلْهُ جَنَّاتٍ

“These are the limits set by Allah... whoever obeys Allah and His Messenger, He will admit him into gardens.”²³

Here, obedience functions as a causal mediator between belief and liberation.

3. Ethical-Spiritual Refinement (*Tazkiyat al-Nafs*)

Beyond legal conformity, Islamic sources emphasize internal purification as a structural necessity. Liberation requires transformation of the self:

قَدْ أَفْلَحَ مَنْ زَكَّاهَا

“He has certainly succeeded who purifies it.”²⁴

Al-Ghazālī conceptualizes *tazkiyah* as the internal engine of liberation, without which jurisprudence becomes formalistic and spiritually inert.²⁵

4. Accountability and Evaluation (*Hisāb and Mizān*)

The transition into the liberated state occurs only after evaluation. The Qur'ān repeatedly invokes weighing (*mizān*) as a decisive structural stage:

فَأَمَّا مَنْ ثَقُلَتْ مَوَازِينُهُ فَهُوَ فِي عِيشَةٍ رَاضِيَةٍ

“As for the one whose scales are heavy, he will be in a pleasing life.”²⁶

This evaluative stage ensures moral coherence and confirms that liberation is earned through responsibility, not randomness.

5. Divine Grace and Final Admission (*Rahmah*)

While the previous components are necessary, Islamic theology asserts that Divine mercy is the final determinant. The Prophet ﷺ states:

لَنْ يَدْخُلَ أَحَدًا عَمَلُهُ الْجَنَّةَ

“No one will enter Paradise by his deeds alone.”

إِلَّا أَنْ يَتَّعَمِدَنِي اللَّهُ بِرَحْمَتِهِ

“Except if Allah envelops him in His mercy.”²⁷

In the structural model, mercy functions as the final enabling condition, transforming deserved evaluation into actual liberation.

Analytical Significance

Structurally modeling liberation clarifies that Islamic salvation is:

- Processual, not instantaneous
- Moral–legal, not merely symbolic
- Grace-enabled, not self-sufficient

This framework also provides a robust basis for comparative analysis with Christian redemption models and Hindu liberation (*mokṣa*), where the structural sequencing and mediating mechanisms differ substantially.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this comparative theological study demonstrates that the concept of salvation or liberation across Islam, Christianity, and Hinduism is structured around distinct yet coherent doctrinal frameworks that reflect each tradition’s understanding of the human condition, moral responsibility, and the role of the Divine. Islamic theology presents salvation as a processual outcome grounded in faith, moral jurisprudence, spiritual purification, accountability, and ultimately Divine mercy, while Christianity centers salvation on grace-mediated redemption through Christ, and Hinduism envisions liberation as freedom from *samsāra* attained through multiple paths such as knowledge, devotion, and action. Despite these differences, all three traditions converge on the centrality of ethical transformation and transcendence of moral failure, indicating that salvation is not merely a metaphysical promise but a lived moral trajectory that shapes religious life and human purpose.

References

¹ *Sūrat al-Mu’minūn* 23:1

² *Sūrat al-Nisā’* 4:110

³ *Muḥammad ibn Ismā’īl al-Bukhārī, Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-Riqāq, Bāb al-Qaṣd wa al-Mudāwamah, ḥadīth no. 6463 (Beirut: Dār Ṭawq al-Najāh, 1422 AH),*

⁴ *Sūrat Al-Anfal* 8:124

⁵ *Sūrat al-‘Aṣr* 103:1–3.

⁶ *Ismā’īl ibn ‘Umar Ibn Kathīr, Tafsīr al-Qur’ān al-‘Azīm (Riyadh: Dār Ṭayyibah, 1999), 8:499.*

⁷ Muslim ibn al-Ḥajjāj, *Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb Ṣifat al-Qiyāmah, ḥadīth no. 2816* (Beirut: Dār Iḥyā' al-Turāth al-'Arabī), 4:2196.

⁸ Thomas Aquinas, *Summa Theologica, trans. Fathers of the English Dominican Province* (New York: Benziger Bros., 1947), I-II, Q.109, 1–3.

⁹ Śaṅkara, *Brahma Sūtra Bhāṣya, trans. Swami Gambhirananda* (Kolkata: Advaita Ashrama, 1997), 1:34–36.

¹⁰ Surah Ash-Shams, 91:9

¹¹ Surah Al-A'raf 7:156

¹² Sūrat Ṭā Hā 20:112.

¹³ Muḥammad ibn Jarīr al-Ṭabarī, *Jāmi' al-Bayān 'an Ta'wīl Āy al-Qur'ān* (Beirut: Mu'assasat al-Risālah, 2000), 16:214.

¹⁴ Sūrat al-Ṭalāq 65:1.

¹⁵ Mālik ibn Anas, *al-Muwaṭṭa', Kitāb Ḥusn al-Khuluq, ḥadīth no. 1614* (Beirut: Dār Iḥyā' al-Turāth al-'Arabī), 2:904.

¹⁶ Muḥammad ibn 'Īsā al-Tirmidhī, *Sunan al-Tirmidhī, Kitāb al-Birr wa al-Ṣilah, ḥadīth no. 2004* (Beirut: Dār al-Gharb al-Islāmī, 1998), 4:362.

¹⁷ Yaḥyā ibn Sharaf al-Nawawī, *Sharḥ Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim* (Beirut: Dār Iḥyā' al-Turāth al-'Arabī, 1392 AH), 16:120.

¹⁸ Muḥammad ibn 'Īsā al-Tirmidhī, *Sunan al-Tirmidhī, Kitāb al-Birr wa al-Ṣilah, ḥadīth no. 2004* (Beirut: Dār al-Gharb al-Islāmī, 1998), 4:362.

¹⁹ Muḥammad ibn Ismā'īl al-Bukhārī, *Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī, Kitāb al-I'tiṣām bi al-Kitāb wa al-Sunnah, ḥadīth no. 7280* (Beirut: Dār Ṭawq al-Najāh, 1422 AH), 9:96.

²⁰ Sūrat Āl 'Imrān 3:185

²¹ Ismā'īl ibn 'Umar Ibn Kathīr, *Tafsīr al-Qur'ān al-'Aẓīm* (Riyadh: Dār Ṭayyibah, 1999), 2:172.

²² Sūrat al-Nisā' 4:13.

²³ Sūrat Āl 'Imrān 3:85.

²⁴ Sūrat al-Shams 91:9.

²⁵ Abū Ḥāmid al-Ghazālī, *Iḥyā' 'Ulūm al-Dīn* (Beirut: Dār al-Ma'rifah, n.d.), 3:9–15.

²⁶ Sūrat al-Qāri'ah 101:6–7.

²⁷ Muslim ibn al-Ḥajjāj, *Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Kitāb Ṣifat al-Qiyāmah, ḥadīth no. 2816* (Beirut: Dār Iḥyā' al-Turāth al-'Arabī), 4:2196.